


Utah Lake, Jordan River & Tooele Valley Basins February 1, 2012


Snowpack over these basins are much below average at 61%, which is 47% of last year. Individual sites range from 24% at Killyon canyon to 77% of average at Snowbird Snotel. January precipitation was near average at 101%, bringing the seasonal accumulation (Oct-Jan) to 75% of average. Average soil moisture in runoff producing areas is estimated at 32% of saturation in the upper 2 feet of soil compared to 57% at this time last year. Reservoir storage is at 94% of capacity, 5% higher than last year. Streamflow forecasts (Apr-July) range from 18% to 77% of average. The Surface Water Supply Index below Deer Creek reservoir is 56%, indicating general water supply conditions are near average.

Jordan/Provo River Soil Moisture —●— WY 2012 - - - - mean




Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep
Percent saturation is calculated using the weighted average of volumetric soil moisture content at 2, 8, and 20-inch depths. Saturation is estimated as 40% volumetric water content. The gray area represents the range in saturation values since 2005.

Jordan/Provo River Snowpack 2/1/2012




Jordan/Provo River

Precipitation 2/1/2012




February Provo River Reservoir Storage



February 1, 2012	Surface Water Supply Index					
Basin or Region	January EOM* Deer Creek, Jordanelle	April - July Forecast Provo River below Deer Creek	Reservoir + Streamflow	SWSI#	Percentile	Years with similar SWSI
	KAF^	KAF	KAF		%	
Provo River	411	80	491	0.49	56	80, 96, 05, 08



**EOM, end of month; # SWSI, Surface Water Supply Index; ^KAF, thousand acre-feet.*

Provo River - Surface Water Supply Index
February



Utah Lake, Jordan River & Tooele Valley

Watershed % of Average Snotel % of Average



UTAH LAKE, JORDAN RIVER & TOOELE VALLEY as of February 1, 2012

UTAH LAKE, JORDAN RIVER & TOOELE VALLEY Streamflow Forecasts - February 1, 2012									
Forecast Point	Forecast Period	<<===== Drier ===== Future Conditions ===== Wetter =====>>					30% (1000AF)	10% (1000AF)	30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)
		Chance Of Exceeding *							
		90% (1000AF)	70% (1000AF)	50% (1000AF)	(% AVG.)				
Salt Ck at Nephi	APR-JUL	0.28	1.37	5.50	59	9.60	15.70	9.40	
Spanish Fk at Castilla	APR-JUL	1.5	7.4	43	56	79	131	77	
Provo R nr Woodland	APR-JUL	38	57	72	70	89	117	103	
Provo R nr Hailstone	APR-JUL	38	57	73	67	90	120	109	
Provo R bl Deer Ck Dam	APR-JUL	36	62	80	64	98	124	126	
American Fk ab Upper Powerplant	APR-JUL	3.2	12.6	19.0	59	25	35	32	
Utah Lake Inflow	APR-JUL	10.0	75	220	68	360	720	325	
W Canyon Ck nr Cedar Fort	APR-JUL	0.07	0.47	1.00	42	1.53	2.30	2.40	
L Cottonwood Ck nr SLC	APR-JUL	19.9	26	30	75	35	42	40	
Big Cottonwood Ck nr SLC	APR-JUL	15.0	23	28	74	33	41	38	
Mill Ck nr SLC	APR-JUL	1.17	3.40	5.00	71	6.60	8.80	7.00	
Parley's Ck nr SLC	APR-JUL	2.0	7.7	11.5	69	15.3	21	16.7	
Dell Fk nr SLC	APR-JUL	0.14	0.96	3.50	52	6.00	9.80	6.80	
Emigration Ck nr SLC	APR-JUL	0.09	1.36	2.80	62	4.20	6.40	4.50	
City Ck nr SLC	APR-JUL	1.62	4.40	6.30	72	8.20	11.00	8.70	
Vernon Ck nr Vernon	APR-JUL	0.03	0.33	1.00	68	1.25	2.20	1.48	
S Willow Ck nr Grantsville	APR-JUL	0.66	1.76	2.50	77	3.20	4.30	3.23	

UTAH LAKE, JORDAN RIVER & TOOELE VALLEY Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of January					UTAH LAKE, JORDAN RIVER & TOOELE VALLEY Watershed Snowpack Analysis - February 1, 2012			
Reservoir	Usable Capacity	*** Usable Storage ***			Watershed	Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of	
		This Year	Last Year	Avg			Last Yr	Average
DEER CREEK	149.7	143.9	132.9	104.8	PROVO RIVER & UTAH LAKE	7	39	57
GRANTSVILLE	3.3	2.3	1.2	1.8	PROVO RIVER	4	36	56
SETTLEMENT CREEK	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.6	JORDAN RIVER & GSL	6	52	69
STRAWBERRY-ENLARGED	1105.9	972.7	976.5	642.2	TOOELE & RUSH VALLEY WATE	3	36	62
UTAH LAKE	870.9	914.2	820.0	790.9	UTAH LAKE/JORDAN R./TOOEL	16	44	63
VERNON CREEK	0.6	0.5	0.2	---				

* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.

The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

- (1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.
- (2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.
- (3) - Median value used in place of average.